TAYSIDE AND CENTRAL SCOTLAND TRANSPORT PARTNERSHIP

15 MARCH 2022

REVIEW OF RISK REGISTER

REPORT BY DIRECTOR

This report presents the Partnership's Risk Register for annual review and approval by the Partnership.

1 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1.1 That the Partnership: -
 - (i) reviews the Risk Register which forms Appendix A to the report; and
 - (ii) agrees to receive a further report reviewing and updating the Risk Register not later than March 2023.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 At its meeting on 21 June 2011 the Partnership approved a Risk Management Policy and Strategy (Report RTP/11/14 refers). This recognises that compliance with the principles of best value and good corporate governance requires a formalised approach to the management of strategic, operational and financial risks which the Partnership may face, in a proactive and controlled manner.
- 2.2 The Risk Management Policy and Strategy was reviewed and updated in December 2016, as part of the Partnership's agreed approach to undertaking periodic comprehensive review of its Core Governance Policies (Report RTP/16/34 refers).
- 2.3 The Risk Register, which supports implementation of the Risk Management Policy and Strategy, is reviewed formally by the Partnership at least annually. The Partnership last reviewed its Risk Register at its meeting on 16 March 2021 (Report RTP/21/04 refers).

3 DISCUSSION

- 3.1 The Risk Register reflects the Partnership's status along with primary statutory duties and responsibilities in relation to:
 - the future of the Partnership's role and responsibilities in the context of the published National Transport Strategy and STPR2 national review;

- the role of the Partnership with regard to the collaboration arrangements for the respective City Deals;
- development, monitoring and overseeing the implementation of the Regional Transport Strategy;
- engaging in and supporting Community Planning;
- acting as a Key Agency in the Development Planning process; and
- ensuring advice is made available on the potential impact of Brexit.
- 3.2 The Register identifies and takes account of relevant strategic, operational and governance risks, including any key risks identified during annual audit processes by external auditors and through other internal and external review processes.
- 3.3 The main areas of identified risk are categorised in relation to (1) Reviews, Collaboration & Governance; (2) RTS Development and Delivery; (3) Management and Operation of the Partnership; and (4) Financial. The various risks are monitored and updated by the Director and Treasurer.
- 3.4 Risk Controls and Actions were previously updated in March 2018, March 2019, March 2020 and March 2021 to reflect major actions such as refresh of the RTS and review of the RTS Delivery Plan and Monitoring Framework. The Register has been further updated to reflect completion of and progress against these key activities during 2021/22, with the addition of a section on risks relating to the national reviews and emerging regional collaborative arrangements.
- 3.5 The Partnership is asked to review the Risk Register at Appendix A and to approve this as a basis for ongoing review during 2022/23, and to receive a further report reviewing the Register within 12 months.
- 3.6 Covid 19 has resulted in organisations adapting to the new restrictions required to control the pandemic. Tactran immediately moved it business online however measures must be undertaken to ensure the safe return of employees to the office environment. Risks are inherent and Tactran has taken and will continue to implement measures to mitigate these risks. Special attention will be required in the formulation of the Regional Transport Strategy to ensure the longer impact of travel patterns following Covid 19 are understood and reflected in the document. Risks and action have been recorded in the risk register appendix.

4 CONSULTATIONS

4.1 The Risk Register has been developed and updated having regard to the Partnership's core statutory duties and responsibilities, taking account of emerging operational and legislative issues and other areas of risk identified during annual audit processes.

5 **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

5.1 This report has no additional resource implications.

6 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

6.1 This report has been screened for any policy implications in respect of Equality Impact Assessment and no major issues have been identified.

Mark Speed Director

Report prepared by Mark Speed. For further information contact email <u>MarkSpeed@tactran.gov.uk</u> or telephone 07919 698611

<u>NOTE</u>

The following background papers, as defined by Section 50D of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (and not containing confidential or exempt information) were relied on to a material extent in preparing this Report.

Report to Partnership RTP/11/14, Review of Governance Policies and Procedures, 21 June 2011

Report to Partnership RTP/16/34, Review of Core Governance Policies and Procedures, 6 December 2016

Report to Partnership RTP/16/04, Review of Risk Register, 8 March 2016

Report to Partnership RTP/17/02, Review of Risk Register, 14 March 2017

Report to Partnership RTP/17/39, Directors Report, 12 December 2017


Report to Partnership RTP/18/07, Review of Risk Register, 27 March 2018

Report to Partnership RTP/19/03, Review of Risk Register, 19 March 2019

Report to Partnership RTP/20/11, Review of Risk Register, 19 March 2020

Report to Partnership RTP/21/04, Review of Risk Register, 15 March 2021

			Inhere	nt							
Rel	Detail	Nature of Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions
1 Ex	stential Risks - Reviews	, Collaboration & Gover	nance								
1.1	The process and outcome of the NTS2 Review diminishes the role of the Partnership and undermines delivery of the RTS.	Strategic/Governance	5	3	Н	The published NTS2 references a regional approach to transport governance. Mitigate the uncertainties through positive advocacy with Scottish Ministers, Transport Scotland and COSLA both directly and via the RTP Chairs' Forum. Also, active participation in the Roles & Responsibilities review.	5	2	М	Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with Sco Transport Scotland and part for the positive role of RTPs of the regional integration of economic development.
1.2	The process and outcome of the STPR2 Review diminishes the role of the Partnership and reduces its ability to secure funding to deliver the RTS.	Strategic/Financial	5	3	н	Mitigate the uncertainties of the STPR2 Review by Tactran acting as Secretariat/Support to the RTWGs and positively influence the STPR Case for Change report.	5	2	М	Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with Sco Transport Scotland, Consult City Deals and other stakeho capital investment for sustail initiatives. Tactran currently published STPR.
1.3	The collaborative arrangements established through the respective City Deals and associated Regional Transport Working Groups are not effective and reduce the ability of Partnersip to deliver the RTS.	Strategic/Governance	5	4	н	Postively shape the governance arrangements for the City Deal Joint Committees and Regional Transport Working Groups to acknowledge the role of transport investment in securing sustainable and inclusive economic growth.	5	2	М	Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with Sco Transport Scotland, partner other stakeholders. Goveral working well in Taycities Dea improve Forth Valley arrange
1.4	Partnership Board fails to function collegiately	Governance	5	3	Н	Induction training undertaken for new Members at start of each new Council election cycle and periodically to take account of changing circumstances. Providing the opportunity to discuss the emerging organisational landscape and relay a Partnership view to partner agencies. A new Board to be formed after the 2022 May Local Authrotiy elections and expecting the appointment of new non-Cllr Member/s s one resigned from the Board in 2021. The above protocals will be used to mitigate this risk.	5	1	L	Director/Proper Officers/Partnership Board Members	Induction training delivered t appointment and will be sup as required in response to cl other controls and issuing of Guidance. Private & confide Governance facilitated for th 2022.
1.5	The outcome of Brexit, withdrawal from the EU, has an adverse impact on the development, planning and operation of the transport network in the Tactran area.	Strategic/Governance	5	5	н	The UK has now formally left the EU. Maintain a regular obverview of the implications of Brexit on the development and delivery of the Regional Transpoort Strategy and to highlight any potential for adverse impacts on the operation of the transport network and key gateway sites in the Tactran area.	5	3	н	Director/Proper Officers/Partnership Board Members	Ensure that partner Local Au owners/operators of key tran ports etc. remain aware of th issued from the Department Transport Scotland and to pu guidance on the Tactran wel



Scottish Government, artner Councils advocating Ps and extolling the virtues of transport, planning and


Scottish Government, sultants, partner Councils, eholders seeking potential stainable transport ntly preparing a responseto

Scottish Government and ner Councils, City Deals and erance arragements are Deal and work ongoing to ngements.

ed to all Board Members on supplemented periodically o changes to legislative or g of new or amended idential discussion on r the new Board Members


Authorities and ransport gateways, e.g. of the current guidance ent of Transport and o publish links to this web site.

			Inherent				Residual				
Ref 2 Stra	Detail ategic Risks - RTS Deve	Nature of Risk slopment and Delivery	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions
2.1	Councils and other public sector stakeholders may not prioritise the delivery of Regional Transport Strategy objectives and RTS Delivery Plan measures.	Political/Financial	5	4	Η	Monitoring Framework and Revenue and Capital Programmes monitoring systems in place to review and report on success in delivering RTS. Review of RTS Delivery Plan and RTS Monitoring Framework completed during 2016 having regard to recommendations identified in Annual Audit Reports (ISA 260). RTS Delivery Plan 2016- 2021 identifies priorities and lead and supporting delivery agencies/partners. Relevant RTS priorities included in emerging City Deals for Tay Cities and Stirling, with potential for Government and other partner delivery commitment and funding through these.	5	2	Μ	Director/Senior Strategy Manager	Proactive engagement by T partner Councils, Commun Development Planning, Cit Action Programming to pro RTS in supporting delivery outcomes. The delivery pla to be used while the new R



by Tactran officers within nunity Planning, Munity Planning, g, City Deals and related CPP o promote strategic benefits of very of local and national y plan 2016-2021 will continue ew RTS is developed.

		Inhere	nt							
Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy.	Nature of Risk Financial/Political	Impact 4	Likelihood 4	Risk H		Impact 4	Likelihood 3	Risk M	Owner Director /Partnership Board Members	Actions Investigate and engage with 0 Scotland, Councils, operators partners on availability of mat
					Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget and Capital Programme processes. Engage with City Deals				Director/Partnership Board Members/Senior Partnership Manager	Liaise with Council Chief Offic Finance on annual Revenue a setting processes. Review of included agreement on propo prioritisation of RTS delivery.
					Make case for prioritising RTS interventions and actions with Councils and with Government				Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with CPP governance structures, and I Authorities promoting case fo delivery focus
Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders	Political/Public	4	4	н	Ongoing promotion of benefits of RTS through effective promotion, publicity campaigns and communication through website, press releases and stakeholder newsletters	4	2	М	Director/Chair/Partners hip Board	Re-write of RTS Delivery Plar consultation with all relevant s partners on prioritisation and delivery. Promote case for RT through City Deals and throug forthcoming review of the Stra Projects Review.
					Promote benefits and case for RTS with Scottish Government, City Deals, Councils, transport providers and business				Director/Chair/Partners hip Board	Ongoing discussion with City Scotland and other stakehold developing business case for
RTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Development Plans	Strategic	4	1	L	Officers fully engaged in RSS and LDP key stakeholder groupings. Also in the NPF4 discussions.	4	1	L	Director/Senior Partnership Manager	Maintain existing officer input processes. Relevant RTS De embedded with TAYplan SDF Action Plans. Rewrite of RTS maintained and strengthened reviewed RSS and updated L NPF4 discussions. Partnership receives reports a Report, Proposed Plan and A stages of RSS/LDP/NPF4 pro-
	Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy. Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders RTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local	Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy.Financial/PoliticalCase for delivery of RTS not recognised by StakeholdersPolitical/PublicRTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and LocalStrategic	Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy.Nature of Risk Financial/PoliticalImpact 4Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by StakeholdersPolitical/Public4	Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy. Financial/Political 4 4 Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders Political/Public 4 4 RTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Strategic 4 1	Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy.Nature of Risk Financial/PoliticalImpact LikelihoodRisk HCase for delivery of RTS not recognised by StakeholdersPolitical/Public44H	Detail Nature of Risk Impact Likelihood Risk Controls Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Financial/Political 4 4 H Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding Strategy. Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget and Capital Programme processes. Engage with City Deals Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget and Capital Programme processes. Engage with City Deals Case for delivery of RTS Political/Public 4 4 H Ongoing promotion of benefits of RTS through effective promotion, publicity campaigns and communication through website, press releases and stakeholder newsletters Stakeholders Strategional Strategional Capital Programme Local Promote benefits and case for RTS with Scottish Government, City Deals, Councils, transport providers and business RTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Strategional Capital Programme, RTS and LDP key 1 L	Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy. Nature of Risk Financial/Political Impact 4 Likelihood 4 Risk 4 Controls Impact Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding Impact 4 Regional Transport Strategy. Financial/Political 4 4 4 H Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding 4 Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders Political/Public 4 4 H Make case for prioritising RTS interventions and actions with Councils and with Government 4 Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders Political/Public 4 4 H Ongoing promotion of benefits of RTS through effective promotion, publicity campaigns and consumication through website, press releases and stakeholder newsletters 4 RTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Strategic 4 1 L Officers fully engaged in RSS and LDP key stakeholder groupings. Also in the NPF4 4	Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy. Nature of Risk Financial/Political Impact 4 Likelihood 4 Risk 4 Controls Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding Impact Likelihood 4 Likelihood 3 Regional Transport Strategy. Regional Transport Strategy. Regional Transport Political/Public A A H Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding Make case for prioritising RTS interventions and actions with Councils and with Government A 2 Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders Political/Public A 4 H Ongoing promotion of benefits of RTS through effective promotion, publicity campaigns and communication through website, press releases and stakeholder newsletters 4 2 RTS policies and priorities not identified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Strategic 4 1 L Officers fully engaged in RSS and LDP key stakeholder groupings. Also in the NPF4 4 1	Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy. Nature of Risk Financial/Political Impact 4 Likelihood 4 Risk 4 Controls Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding Impact Likelihood 4 Risk 3 M Regional Transport Strategy. Regional Transport Strategy. Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget and Capital Programme processes. Engage with City Deals Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget and Capital Programme processes. Engage with City Deals M P Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders Political/Public 4 4 4 M P Ongoing promotion of benefits of RTS through effective promotion, publicity campaigns and communication through website, press releases and stakeholder newsletters 4 2 M RTS policies and priorities poticientified in Regional Spatial Strategies and Local Strategic 4 1 L	Detail Nature of Risk Impact Likelihood Risk Controls Impact Likelihood Risk Owner Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Financial/Political 4 4 3 M Director/Partnership Strategy. Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget - - 1 Director/Partnership Case for delivery of RTS Political/Public 4 4 4 4 9 Director/Partnership Stakeholders Political/Public 4 4 4 9 Director/Partnership RTS policies and priorities Strategic 4 4 4 4 9 Director/Partnership RTS policies and priorities Strategic 4 4 4 4 9 Director/Chair/Partnership RTS policies and priorities Strategic 4 1 Like Information of benefits and case for RTS with Sources and business 4 2 M Director/Chair/Partnership Board Make case for prioritising RTS Risk Political/Public 4 4 4 4 1 Director/Chair/Partne



vith City Deals, Transport ators and other potential match funding.

Officers and Heads of w of RTS Delivery Plan has oposed profiling and ery.

PPs, emerging City Deal nd Development Planning e for a strategic transport


Plan will included ant stakeholders and and profiling of RTS or RTS delivery priorities rough influencing Strategic Transport

City Deals, Transport olders on promoting and for RTS priority actions

put to RSS and LDP Delivery Plan priorities SDP Action Plan and LDP RTS Delivery Plan will ned alignment with ed LDPs. Also with the

rts at key Main Issues d Action Programme processes

		Inherent					Residual					
Ref 2.5	Detail The Partnership fails to engage fully in Community Planning	Nature of Risk Strategic	Impact 4	Likelihood 1	Risk L	Controls Officers proactively engaged in CPPs and relevant Thematic Groupings	Impact 4	Likelihood 1	Risk L	Owner Director/Senior Partnership Manager	Actions Maintain and strengthen offic governance and delivery act priorities with supporting CP Active engagement of Partne ongoing review of CPP struct by Board of Locality Outcom (LOIPs) replacing former SC through ongoing contribution emerging Locality Plans and	
										Director	Partnership receives regular approval, development and a LOIPs endorsed by Partners and ongoing officer engagen action planning. Partnership least annual progress update LOIPs.	
										Director	Governance procedures and priorities have been develop reviewed to ensure continue CPP/LOIP objectives and de	
2.6	Projects are not delivered in accordance with programme and budget	Operational/Financial	4	3		Project Risk Assessment carried out as part of Project Management process	f 4	1	L	Senior Partnership Manager	Review of RTS Delivery Plar included agreement with par lead/supporting agencies on and programming of RTS de	
						Regular monthly monitoring of progress in liaison with project delivery partners and contractors and quarterly reporting to Partnership Board on Revenue expenditure and Capital Programme monitoring as necessary				Senior Partnership Manager		




officer input to CPP activity aligning RTS CPP and local outcomes. rtnership officers in ructures and endorsement omes Improvement Plans SOAs in 2018/19 and tion to the development of and priorities.

ular reports on SOA nd Action Programming. ership Board on 12/12/17 gement on related LOIP ship Board to receive at date on contributing to

and RTS Delivery Plan and eloped and are regularly nued alignment with delivery

Plan completed during 2016 partner Councils and other on prioritisation, profiling delivery moving forward

			Inherent					Residual					
	Detail nagement/ Operational I	Nature of Risk Risks	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions		
3.1	Inadequate staff resource available to carry out actions agreed by Board	HR/ Financial	5	2	М	HR support in employing optimum staff complement	4	1	L	Director	Resources planning taken in to Board and as part of Boa Revised Management and s approved by Board and suc during 2018/2019.		
						Staff training and development				Director	Ongoing review of staff dev needs by Director/Team.		
3.2	Failure of IT systems including cyber attack	Technical	4	3	М	Effective and reliable IT support systems	4	1	L	Office Manager	Employment of effective IT SLA with Perth & Kinross C		
						Secure and effective backup arrangements for hardware and files				Office Manager	Secure backup and file mar maintained and updated thr process and through new, s Management Plan systems updated during 2020/21 to t Public Sector Action Plan of		
						Systematic updates to server, laptops and website				Office Manager/IT support	Annual review of IT system through SLA with Perth & K office desktop hardware for 2020/21.		
3.3	Action by Partnership staff, members or partners exposes Partnership to corporate or financial liability	Operational/Financial	5	2	М	Financial Regulations and Scheme of Delegation	5	1	L	Director/Treasurer	Regular reviews of Financia Systems		
						Service procurement and monitoring arrangements in place				Director/Treasurer	Monthly financial monitoring support staff monitoring		



en into account in reporting Board Action reviews. nd staffing structures successfully implemented

development and training

IT support services through s Council

management systems d through IT SLA review ew, statutory Records

ms and approaches. SLA

to take account of new n on Cyber Resilience


em capability and renewals & Kinross IT. Updating of for all staff undertaken in

ncial and other Control

ring meetings with Finance

			Inhere	nt							
Ref 3.4	Detail Reliance on knowledge and actions of individuals may result in business failure if they leave or are unavailable, i.e. single points of failure.	Nature of Risk Human Resources	Impact 5	Likelihood 4	Risk H	Controls HR procurement arrangements and employment conditions adequate to retain staff and replace individuals if needed	5	Likelihood 2	Risk M	Owner Director/ HR support	Actions New Records Management and systems will assist with planning.
3.5	Non compliance with Freedom of information	Legislative	5	3	н	Staff training and development needs reviewed annually Maintain approved Publication Scheme	5	2	L	Director Director	Review staff training and de moving forward. Arrangements in place for d in compliance with statutory
3.6	requirements Failure to comply with relevant legislation and recognise implications of new legislation	Legislative	5	3	н	Monitoring of reports and recommended actions and relevant statutory changes	5	1	L	Legal Officer/Director	Ongoing monitoring of Scott Government/Parliament legi other relevant Government p
3.7	Failure to comply with relevant Health & Safety Regulation	Legislative	5	2	М	Written policies covering Health & Safety for staff and Members	5	1	L	Director	Ongoing review.
	Regulation					Workplace areas assessed and safe working procedures documented for operational areas				Office Manager	Ongoing review.
						Fire safety procedures and recognised First Aider cover in place				Office Manager	Ongoing review.

6




ent Plan- Work Programme with business continuity

development requirements

r dealing with FOI requests ory requirements.

cottish legislative processes and ent publications.

Inherent Residual Ref Detail Impact Likelihood Risk Controls Impact Likelihood Risk Owner Nature of Risk Actions **4** Financial Risks Liaise with Transport Scotland, Treasurer and Budget for 2022/23 and plan Core and RTS budgets 4.1 Treasurer/Director Financial 5 3 4 1 constitiuent Coucnils on likley outcome of LG to be confirmed and expected to stay the same as Late announcemnet of local settlement for 2022/23. 2021/22. government settlement and hence Grant-in-Aid and LA contribution support for 2020/21. 4.2 Expenditure exceeds Effective financial monitoring, reporting and Treasurer/Director Regular monthly monitoring meetings with Finance Financial 4 3 М 1 4 control systems at Board and officer level are support staff and regular quarterly monitoring budget reports on Revenue Expenditure to Partnership in place to manage expenditure Board and on Capital Programmes as necessary. Treasurer/ Director 4.3 Projects expenditure slips Effective financial monitoring reporting and Regular reviews undertaken to monitor expenditure Financial 4 3 Μ 4 1 resulting in year on year control systems in place to manage project during year, meetings with Finance Manager by exception. Programmes varied by Board to reflect funding discrepancy expenditure changes. Statutory controls amended to enable creation of Arrangements are in place to manage slippage Director across Financial Years where necessary RTP Reserves funds and carry over of reserves across Financial Years. This was included as strategy. 4.4 Theft or loss of assets Financial/Reputational External security measures in place Office Manager 2 5 Μ 3 1 exposes Partnership to loss of reputation or financially Adequate insurance cover in place Office Manager Ongoing COVID 19 Office Office safety Office assessment complete and measures to return to the office. Hybrid working expected to start March 2022. Risk assessments in place. The whole team Staff Employee safety participated and contributed to the assessments. Induvidual assessments were made by each staff member Strategic Outlook Uncertaintly over impact on travel demand and All data collected by Tactran and partners will be supply during and following the end to lock used to assist re-writing of the RTS and Tactran down due to Covid 19 transition planning. Home Working Assement of employees needs and requirements for working from home have been completed. Successful implementation has allowed Tactran to convert to business online.



Section 122 of the Transport (Scotland) Act Reserve

introduced to ensure the workplace is safe for staff