TAYSIDE AND CENTRAL SCOTLAND TRANSPORT PARTNERSHIP

17 MARCH 2020

REVIEW OF RISK REGISTER

REPORT BY DIRECTOR

This report presents the Partnership's Risk Register for annual review and approval by the Partnership.

1 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1.1 That the Partnership: -
 - (i) reviews the Risk Register which forms Appendix A to the report; and
 - (ii) agrees to receive a further report reviewing and updating the Risk Register not later than March 2021.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 At its meeting on 21 June 2011 the Partnership approved a Risk Management Policy and Strategy (Report RTP/11/14 refers). This recognises that compliance with the principles of best value and good corporate governance requires a formalised approach to the management of strategic, operational and financial risks which the Partnership may face, in a proactive and controlled manner.
- 2.2 The Risk Management Policy and Strategy was reviewed and updated in December 2016, as part of the Partnership's agreed approach to undertaking periodic comprehensive review of its Core Governance Policies (Report RTP/16/34 refers).
- 2.3 The Risk Register, which supports implementation of the Risk Management Policy and Strategy, is reviewed formally by the Partnership at least annually. The Partnership last reviewed its Risk Register at its meeting on 19 March 2019 (Report RTP/19/03 refers).

3 DISCUSSION

- 3.1 The Risk Register reflects the Partnership's status along with primary statutory duties and responsibilities in relation to: -
 - the future of the Partnership's role and responsibilities in the context of the published National Transport Strategy and STPR2 national review;

- the role of the Partnership with regard to the collaboration arrangements for the respective City Deals;
- development, monitoring and overseeing the implementation of the Regional Transport Strategy;
- engaging in and supporting Community Planning;
- acting as a Key Agency in the Development Planning process; and
- ensuring advice is made available on the potential impact of Brexit.
- 3.2 The Register identifies and takes account of relevant strategic, operational and governance risks, including any key risks identified during annual audit processes by external auditors and through other internal and external review processes.
- 3.3 The main areas of identified risk are categorised in relation to (1) Reviews, Collaboration & Governance; (2) RTS Development and Delivery; (3) Management and Operation of the Partnership; and (4) Financial. The various risks are monitored and updated by the Director and Treasurer. A specific risk has been included for the delay in receiving the 2020/21 local government budget settlement.
- 3.4 Risk Controls and Actions were previously updated in March 2018 and March 2019 to reflect major actions such as refresh of the RTS and review of the RTS Delivery Plan and Monitoring Framework. The Register has been further updated to reflect completion of and progress against these key activities during 2019/20, with the addition of a section on risks relating to the national reviews and emerging regional collaborative arrangements.
- 3.5 Review of the Register during 2019/20 included these additional risks along with a reclassification of a number of Management/Operational risks, which had been increased during recent years owing to identified risks in relation to succession planning and as a result of planned and unplanned staff turnover during that period. Following successful completion and implementation of a review of the Partnership's Management and Staffing structures during 2017/18 and the successful conclusion of the staff recruitment processes which have returned the Partnership to a full staffing complement, including the appointment of a new Partnership Director on a two-year fixed-term contract from 1 July 2018, the associated Management/Operational residual risks in the Appendix had been downgraded from High to Medium accordingly.

- 3.6 With the impending departure of the current Partnership Director on the termination of his fixed-term contract, the advertisement for the post on a further two-year fixed-term contract has led to a strong field of candidates. As a consequence, it is expected that a report from the Executive Committee on a successful appointment can be reported to the Board and in that context, this mitigated risk score has been recorded as Low.
- 3.7 At its meeting on 12 December 2017 the Partnership noted the publication of a Public Sector Action Plan setting out a number of key actions requested of public sector organisations, which are designed to implement a common and robust approach to cyber resilience across the public sector (Report RTP/17/39 refers). The Action Plan set out 11 key actions with various timelines and milestones going forward on issues including governance; independent assurance on critical controls; training and awareness raising; incident response; supply chain cyber security; and monitoring and evaluation. Tactran's IT systems, procurement and support are provided under a Service Level Agreement (SLA) with Perth & Kinross Council. It has been confirmed that the various Public Sector Action Plan requirements affecting Tactran will be addressed through development and updating of the current SLA with the Council's IT service and providers. Discussions are ongoing regarding the various technical and operational aspects of compliance with the Public Sector Action Plan and the Partnership's Risk Register will be further reviewed to reflect these ongoing discussions and developments in relation to cyber resilience, as noted in Risk 3.2.
- 3.8 As identified through successive annual audits, the principal strategic risks facing the Partnership are in relation to securing the necessary commitment and funding to supporting implementation of the RTS and associated Delivery Plan. As part of the 2019/20 review a number of references were included to reflect the proposals for implementation of the now approved City Deals for the Tay Cities and Stirling & Clackmannanshire city-regions offer in relation to securing Government and other funding commitment for advancing a number of RTS Delivery Plan proposals. The partnership will now be focused on developing the business cases to secure the agreed funding via the Regional Transport Working Groups. The Stirling/Clackmannanshire City Region Deal was formally signed on 26 February although no confirmed date for the signing of the Tay Cities Region Deal has yet been announced.
- 3.9 Officers continue to seek and explore other opportunities for levering external and matched delivery funding to support development and delivery of the RTS, including continued work and partnering with relevant delivery agencies such as Sustrans, Cycling Scotland, transport operators and Transport Scotland. Delivery of the successful bid of £550k to the MaaS Investment Fund has been added as an additional risk.

3.10 The Partnership is asked to review the Risk Register at Appendix A and to approve this as a basis for ongoing review during 2020/21, and to receive a further report reviewing the Register within 12 months. Areas where revisions and updating of the Register have been included are highlighted in yellow for ease of identification.

4 CONSULTATIONS

4.1 The Risk Register has been developed and updated having regard to the Partnership's core statutory duties and responsibilities, taking account of emerging operational and legislative issues and other areas of risk identified during annual audit processes.

5 **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

5.1 This report has no additional resource implications.

6 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

6.1 This report has been screened for any policy implications in respect of Equality Impact Assessment and no major issues have been identified.

Tom Flanagan Director

Report prepared by Tom Flanagan. For further information contact email ericguthrie@tactran.gov.uk or telephone 01738 475771

<u>NOTE</u>

The following background papers, as defined by Section 50D of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (and not containing confidential or exempt information) were relied on to a material extent in preparing this Report.

Report to Partnership RTP/11/14, Review of Governance Policies and Procedures, 21 June 2011

Report to Partnership RTP/16/34, Review of Core Governance Policies and Procedures, 6 December 2016

Report to Partnership RTP/16/04, Review of Risk Register, 8 March 2016


Report to Partnership RTP/17/02, Review of Risk Register, 14 March 2017

Report to Partnership RTP/17/39, Directors Report, 12 December 2017

Report to Partnership RTP/18/07, Review of Risk Register, 27 March 2018

Report to Partnership RTP/19/03, Review of Risk Register, 19 March 2019

				Residual							
Ref	Detail	Nature of Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions
1 Exis	stential Risks - Reviews	, Collaboration & Goverr	ance								
1.1	The process and outcome of the NTS2 Review diminishes the role of the Partnership and undermines delivery of the RTS.	Strategic/Governance	5	3	Η	The published NTS2 references a regional approach to transport governance. Mitigate the uncertainties through positive advocacy with Scottish Ministers, Transport Scotland and COSLA both directly and via the RTP Chairs' Forum. Also, active participation in the Roles & Responsibilities review.		2	М	Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with Sco Transport Scotland and part for the positive role of RTPs of the regional integration of economic development.
1.2	The process and outcome of the STPR2 Review diminishes the role of the Partnership and reduces its ability to secure funding to deliver the RTS.	Strategic/Financial	5	3	н	Mitigate the uncertainties of the STPR2 Review by Tactran acting as Secretariat/Support to the RTWGs and positively influence the STPR Case for Change report.	5	2	М	Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with Sco Transport Scotland, Consult City Deals and other stakeho capital investment for sustai
1.3	The collaborative arrangements established through the respective City Deals and associated Regional Transport Working Groups are not effective and reduce the ability of Partnersip to deliver the RTS.	Strategic/Governance	5	4	н	Postively shape the governance arrangements for the City Deal Joint Committees and Regional Transport Working Groups to acknowledge the role of transport investment in securing sustainable and inclusive economic growth.	5	2	М	Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with Sco Transport Scotland, partner other stakeholders seeking t governance arrangements a providing a robust platform f
1.4	Partnership Board fails to function collegiately	Governance	5	3	н	Induction training undertaken for new Members at start of each new Council election cycle and periodically to take account of changing circumstances. Providing the opportunity to discuss the emerging organisational landscape and relay a Partnership view to partner agencies	5	1	L	Director/Proper Officers/Partnership Board Members	Induction training delivered t appointment and will be sup as required in response to cl other controls and issuing of Guidance. Private & confide Governance facilitated at the meeting, relayed to Transpo subsequently incorporated in
1.5	The outcome of Brexit, withdrawal from the EU, has an adverse impact on the development, planning and operation of the transport network in the Tactran area.	Strategic/Governance	5	5	н	The UK has now formally left the EU. Maintain a regular obverview of the implications of Brexit on the development and delivery of the Regional Transpoort Strategy and to highlight any potential for adverse impacts on the operation of the transport network and key gateway sites in the Tactran area.	5	3	Н	Director/Proper Officers/Partnership Board Members	Ensure that partner Local Au owners/operators of key trar ports etc. remain aware of th issued from the Department Transport Scotland and to p guidance on the Tactran we



Scottish Government, partner Councils advocating Ps and extolling the virtues of transport, planning and


Scottish Government, sultants, partner Councils, eholders seeking potential stainable transport initiatives

Scottish Government and ner Councils, City Deals and ng to shape the emerging ts and business cases m for delivering the RTS.

ed to all Board Members on supplemented periodically o changes to legislative or g of new or amended idential discussion on the December 2018 Board sport Scotland and d into the NTS2 response.

Authorities and transport gateways, e.g. of the current guidance ent of Transport and publish links to this web site.


	Inherent					Residual				
Ref Detail 2 Strategic Risks - RTS Dev	Nature of Risk velopment and Delivery	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions
2.1 Councils and other public sector stakeholders may not prioritise the delivery of Regional Transport Strategy objectives and RTS Delivery Plan measures.	Political/Financial	5	4	Η	Monitoring Framework and Revenue and Capital Programmes monitoring systems in place to review and report on success in delivering RTS. Review of RTS Delivery Plan and RTS Monitoring Framework completed during 2016 having regard to recommendations identified in Annual Audit Reports (ISA 260). RTS Delivery Plan 2016- 2021 identifies priorities and lead and supporting delivery agencies/partners. Relevant RTS priorities included in emerging City Deals for Tay Cities and Stirling, with potential for Government and other partner delivery commitment and funding through these.	5	2	Μ	Director/Senior Strategy Manager	Proactive engagement by partner Councils, Commun Development Planning, Cit Action Programming to pro RTS in supporting delivery outcomes.



t by Tactran officers within munity Planning, g, City Deals and related CPP p promote strategic benefits of very of local and national

			Inherent					Residual				
Ref 2.2	Detail Lack of delivery funding to support implementation of Regional Transport Strategy.	Nature of Risk Financial/Political	Impact 4	Likelihood 4	Risk H	Controls Investigate alternative sources of delivery and match funding	Impact 4	Likelihood 3	Risk M	Owner Director /Partnership Board Members	Actions Investigate and engage with Scotland, Councils, operators partners on availability of mai external funding for Active Tr MaaS Investment Fund.	
						Engage with partner Council Revenue Budget and Capital Programme processes. Engage with City Deals				Director/Partnership Board Members/Senior Partnership Manager	Liaise with Council Chief Offi Finance on annual Revenue setting processes. Review o included agreement on propo prioritisation of RTS delivery.	
						Make case for prioritising RTS interventions and actions with Councils and with Government				Director/Partnership Board Members	Continue to engage with CPF governance structures, and Authorities promoting case for delivery focus	
2.3	Case for delivery of RTS not recognised by Stakeholders	Political/Public	4	4	Н	Ongoing promotion of benefits of RTS through effective promotion, publicity campaigns and communication through website, press releases and stakeholder newsletters	4	2	Μ	Director/Chair/Partners hip Board	Review of RTS Delivery Plan with all relevant stakeholders prioritisation and profiling of F case for RTS delivery prioritie and through influencing forthe Strategic Transport Projects late 2018/19	
						Promote benefits and case for RTS with Scottish Government, City Deals, Councils, transport providers and business				Director/Chair/Partners hip Board	Ongoing discussion with City Scotland and other stakehold developing business case for	
2.4	RTS policies and priorities not identified in Strategic and Local Development Plans	Strategic	4	1	L	Officers fully engaged in SDP and LDP key stakeholder groupings. Also in the emerging NPF4 discussions.	4	1	L	Director/Senior Partnership Manager	Maintain existing officer input processes. Relevant RTS De embedded with TAYplan SDI Action Plans. Review of RTS maintained and strengtheneo reviewed and updated SDP a emerging NPF4 discussions.	
											Partnership receives reports Report, Proposed Plan and A stages of SDP/LDP/NPF4 pro	

3



vith City Deals, Transport ators and other potential match funding. To include Travel and the £550k

Officers and Heads of w of RTS Delivery Plan has oposed profiling and ery.

CPPs, emerging City Deal and Development Planning e for a strategic transport


Plan included consultation ders and partners on of RTS delivery. Promote orities through City Deals orthcoming review of the cts Review commencing

City Deals, Transport holders on promoting and e for RTS priority actions

put to SDP and LDP Delivery Plan priorities SDP Action Plan and LDP RTS Delivery Plan ned alignment with P and LDPs. Also with the

rts at key Main Issues d Action Programme processes

			Inhere	nt							
Ref 2.5	Detail The Partnership fails to engage fully in Community Planning	Nature of Risk Strategic	Impact 4	Likelihood 1	Risk L	Controls Officers proactively engaged in CPPs and relevant Thematic Groupings	Impact 4	Likelihood 1	Risk L	Owner Director/Senior Partnership Manager	Actions Maintain and strengthen offic governance and delivery act priorities with supporting CP Active engagement of Partne ongoing review of CPP struct by Board of Locality Outcom (LOIPs) replacing former SC through ongoing contribution emerging Locality Plans and
										Director	Partnership receives regular approval, development and a LOIPs endorsed by Partners and ongoing officer engagen action planning. Partnership least annual progress update LOIPs.
										Director	Governance procedures and priorities have been develop reviewed to ensure continue CPP/LOIP objectives and de
2.6	Projects are not delivered in accordance with programme and budget	Operational/Financial	4	3		Project Risk Assessment carried out as part o Project Management process	f 4	1	L	Senior Partnership Manager	Review of RTS Delivery Plar included agreement with par lead/supporting agencies on and programming of RTS de
						Regular monthly monitoring of progress in liaison with project delivery partners and contractors and quarterly reporting to Partnership Board on Revenue expenditure and Capital Programme monitoring as necessary				Senior Partnership Manager	




officer input to CPP activity aligning RTS CPP and local outcomes. rtnership officers in ructures and endorsement omes Improvement Plans SOAs in 2018/19 and tion to the development of and priorities.

ular reports on SOA nd Action Programming. ership Board on 12/12/17 gement on related LOIP ship Board to receive at date on contributing to

and RTS Delivery Plan and eloped and are regularly nued alignment with delivery

Plan completed during 2016 partner Councils and other on prioritisation, profiling delivery moving forward

			Inhere	nt			Residual				
	Detail nagement/ Operational R	Nature of Risk Risks	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions
3.1	Inadequate staff resource available to carry out actions agreed by Board	HR/ Financial	5	2	М	HR support in employing optimum staff complement	4	1	L	Director	Resources planning taken in to Board and as part of Boar Revised Management and s approved by Board and succ during 2017/18. New Partne recruitment to be concluded
						Staff training and development				Director	Ongoing review of staff deve needs by Director/Team.
3.2	3.2 Failure of IT systems including cyber attack	Technical	4	3	М	Effective and reliable IT support systems Secure and effective backup arrangements for hardware and files	4	1	L	Office Manager Office Manager	Employment of effective IT s SLA with Perth & Kinross Co Secure backup and file man maintained and updated thro process and through new, st Management Plan systems be updated during 2018/19 t Public Sector Action Plan on
						Systematic updates to server, laptops and website				Office Manager/IT support	Annual review of IT system of through SLA with Perth & Kin office desktop hardware for 2018/19.
3.3	Action by Partnership staff, members or partners exposes Partnership to corporate or financial liability	Operational/Financial	5	2	М	Financial Regulations and Scheme of Delegation	5	1	L	Director/Treasurer	Regular reviews of Financial Systems
						Service procurement and monitoring arrangements in place				Director/Treasurer	Monthly financial monitoring support staff monitoring



n into account in reporting Board Action reviews. nd staffing structures uccessfully implemented tnership Director ded March 2020.

levelopment and training

IT support services through Council

I through IT SLA review w, statutory Records ems and approaches. SLA to

19 to take account of new


on Cyber Resilience

em capability and renewals Kinross IT. Updating of for all staff undertaken in

cial and other Control

ing meetings with Finance

	Inherent										
Ref 3.4	Detail Reliance on knowledge and actions of individuals may result in business failure if they leave or are unavailable, i.e. single points of failure.	Nature of Risk Human Resources	Impact 5	Likelihood 4	Risk H	Controls HR procurement arrangements and employment conditions adequate to retain staff and replace individuals if needed	Impact 5	Likelihood 2	Risk M	Owner Director/ HR support	Actions Review of Management and approved and successfully ir 2017/18 designed to ensure personnel and experience. N Management Plan and syste business continuity planning.
3.5	Non compliance with Freedom of information	Legislative	5	3	н	Staff training and development needs reviewed annually Maintain approved Publication Scheme	5	2	L	Director Director	Review staff training and dev moving forward. Arrangements in place for de in compliance with statutory
3.6	requirements Failure to comply with relevant legislation and recognise implications of	Legislative	5	3	н	Monitoring of reports and recommended actions and relevant statutory changes	5	1	L	Legal Officer/Director	Ongoing monitoring of Scotti Government/Parliament legis other relevant Government p
3.7	new legislation Failure to comply with relevant Health & Safety	Legislative	5	2	М	Written policies covering Health & Safety for staff and Members	5	1	L	Director	Ongoing review.
	Regulation					Workplace areas assessed and safe working procedures documented for operational areas				Office Manager	Ongoing review.
						Fire safety procedures and recognised First Aider cover in place				Office Manager	Ongoing review.




and staffing structure ly implemented during ure continuity of appropriate e. New Records stems will assist with ing.

development requirements

r dealing with FOI requests ory requirements.

ottish egislative processes and nt publications.

			Inhere	nt			Residu	lal			
	Detail ancial Risks	Nature of Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions
4.1	Late announcemnet of local government settlement and hence Grant-in-Aid and LA contribution support for 2020/21.	Financial	5	3	Η	Liaise with Transport Scotland, Treasurer and constitiuent Coucnils on likley outcome of LG settlement for 2020/21.	4	1	L	Treasurer/Director	Proceed on the assumption of budget for 2020/21 and plan accordingly.
4.2	Expenditure exceeds budget	Financial	4	3	М	Effective financial monitoring, reporting and control systems at Board and officer level are in place to manage expenditure	4	1	L	Treasurer/Director	Regular monthly monitoring r support staff and regular qua reports on Revenue Expendi Board and on Capital Progra
4.3	Projects expenditure slips resulting in year on year funding discrepancy	Financial	4	3	М	Effective financial monitoring reporting and control systems in place to manage project expenditure	4	1	L	Treasurer/ Director	Regular reviews undertaken during year, meetings with Fi exception. Programmes varie changes.
						Arrangements are in place to manage slippage across Financial Years where necessary				Director	Continued to seek amendme to enable creation of RTP Re over of reserves across Fina proposal was included as Se Transport (Scotland) Act 201 now been received that it will March allowing RTP's to imp 2020/21 financial year.
4.4	Theft or loss of assets exposes Partnership to loss of reputation or financially	Financial/Reputational	5	2	М	External security measures in place	3	1	L	Office Manager	
						Adequate insurance cover in place				Office Manager	



on of a cash standstill lan Core and RTS budgets

ing meetings with Finance quarterly monitoring enditure to Partnership grammes as necessary.

ken to monitor expenditure th Finance Manager by varied by Board to reflect

dment to statutory controls Reserves funds and carry inancial Years. This Section 122 of the 2019 and confirmation has will come into force on 19 implement the powers in